Caput III – Masculine Nouns of the 2nd Declension

Review Noun Facts!

2nd Declension Nouns:

Steps to Declining Nouns:

- Find the base: go the genitive singular form (2nd dictionary form) and remove gen. ending (this gen. sing. ending will also indicate to which declension the noun belongs)
- Add the appropriate ending for case, gender, and number

nom. sing. gen. sing. gender ex: amīcus, amīcī, m.

Base:

2nd Declension Masculine Case Endings:

Case	Singular	Plural	
Nom.	-us / -er	- Ī	
Gen.	- Ī	-ōrum	
Dat.	-ō	-īs	
Acc.	-um	-ōs	
Abl.	-ō	-īs	
Voc.	-e / -er	-ī	

Do you notice any similarities to the 1st Declension?

Practice: Decline each noun given to the right in every case and number:

Case	Singular	Plural
Nom.		
Gen.		
Dat.		
Acc.		
Abl.		
Voc.		

Case	Singular	Plural
Nom.		
Gen.		
Dat.		
Acc.		
Abl.		
Voc.		

ex: populus, populī, m.

Base:_____

ex: ager, agrī, m.

Base:_____

Sakros Law and r-stem nouns:

Noun/Adj. Agreement:

Adjectives (dictionary entry):

Practice: Decline the following noun/adjective pair in the chart below

	Noun: puer, puerī, m. / Adj: magnus, magna, magnum	
	singular	plural
Nominative		
Genitive		
Dative		
Accusative		
Ablative		
Vocative		

Practice: Identify the possible case(s), number(s), and gender of the following noun forms: (Note: some forms may have more than one case/number possibility, but each has only one gender)

amīcōrum —	puerōs –
fīliīs —	agrō –
virī —	numerum –
sapientiā —	agricolae –

Apposition:

Word Order: S (modifiers) + DO (modifiers) + IO (modifiers) + adv. / prep. phrases + V

Key Terms:		
2 nd Declension	Masculine Nouns	Vowel Weakening
Appositive / Apposition	Sakros Law	Apocope/Syncope

Linguistic Principles behind the First and Second Declensions (M/F Nouns)

Think of the nouns of the first declension as having a stem *ending* in "-a":

rosa/ = rosa, rosae, f. $po\bar{e}ta/ = po\bar{e}ta$, $po\bar{e}tae$, m.

Think of the nouns of the second declension as having a stem *ending* in "-o":

amīco/ = amicus, amīcī, m. puero/ = puer, puerī, m. [note: think of this as an "r-stem"]

You can approach the paradigm by thinking of the markers for each case and number as follows:

Case	Singular		Plu	ral
	-Ø (-a and -r bases) / -s (others)		-	Ī
Nominative	-a/Ø > -a	-0/s > -us -r0/Ø > -re > -r	-a/ī > -ae (L, too, see below)	-0/ī > -ī
Genitive	-Lī (-a bases) / -ī (-o bases) [-a bases: origLs, hence the L with -ī]		orig. -um , -Ls a	dded before that
Gemuve	= -ā/ī > -ae	$-0/\overline{\mathbf{i}} > -\overline{\mathbf{i}}$	-a/Ls/um > -āsum > -ārum	-o/Ls/um > -ōsum > -ōrum
Detivo	-Li		-īs	
Dative	-ā/i > -ae	-ā/i > -ā	$-a/\overline{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{s} > -\overline{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{s}$	$-o/\overline{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{s} > -\overline{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{s}$
	- <u>Ņ</u>		- M s =	
Accusative	-a/ M > -am	-o/ M > -om > - um	-a/ Ms > -ams > -ans > -a_s > -ās	-o/ Ms > -oms > -ons > -o_s > - ō s
Ablating	-L (origLd, the d is later dropped)		-ī	īs
Ablative	$-a/L > -\bar{a}$	$-o/L > -ar{o}$	$-a/\overline{\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{S} > -\overline{\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{S}$	$-o/\overline{\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{S} > -\overline{\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{S}$
	-Ø		-ī	
Vocative	-a/Ø > -a	$-o/\emptyset > -e$ $-ro/\emptyset > -re > -r$	-a/ī > -ae	-0/ī > -ī

Key: "L" = "lengthen vowel"; "Ø" = "nothing"

A couple of notes:

- Vowel weakening: short vowels often weaken in predictable patterns: for now we'll focus on short "o": when unaccented, -ŏ > -u, as it does in the final syllables in the Nom. and Acc. sing. of the o-stem nouns
- 2. Nom. sing. of the o-stem nouns: for non r-stems, see vowel weakening, above. For "r-stems," it is important to understand that the stem-final "o" is really just a combining vowel. From PIE, Latin inherits a "Ø" marker for the Nom. sing. of all r-stem nouns (as we'll see in other declensions, too. First, the –o Ablauts to short e-grade (-ro > -re); next, the short "e" is dropped through apocope. [Important: v. on Sakros Law, below]

- 3. Gen. sing. -Ls is the original *a*-stem noun ending inherited from PIE and you see it in old inscriptions; it is also retained in legal phrases, such as *pater familiās* = "father of the family"
- 4. Vowels combine with -i and $-\overline{i}$ in different ways:

```
-\bar{a}i > -ae-\bar{a}i > -\bar{i}(cf. Dat. sing. to Dat. pl. a-stem nouns)-o\bar{i}, -oi > -\bar{i}-\bar{o}i > -\bar{o}(cf. Dat. sing. to Gen. sing. o-stem nouns)The process that results in -\bar{i} in these cases is called "monophthongization"
```

- 5. For the Gen. sing. and Nom. pl. in the a-stem nouns the ending -ī is borrowed from the o-stem nouns. However, at some point there must have been a lengthening of the *a* in the Nom. pl. before the glide morphed into the diphthong, since -ăi should have produced -ī. Older examples have -Ls as the Nom. pl., so the -L probably originates from there.
- 6. In Latin: -Vms > -Vns > -V_s > -LVs (V = vowel), the loss of *n* when followed by *s* is a regular change (all dentals do this as we'll see soon), and the lengthening of the vowel after the loss of a consonant is called "compensatory lengthening"
- 7. Voc. sing.: cf. on the nom. sing. for the o-stems, above, but an addition of "Ø" to an ostem noun leads to a change of vowel grade (Ablaut) to short-e grade, the short "e" is then lost from the r-stems through apocope, as noted above.

Sakros Law: When the final syllables of r-stem nouns are dropped from the Nom. and Voc. singular forms, an "e" is sometimes inserted internally to the stem when an "uncomfortable consonant cluster" results at the end of the stem. Compare these examples below:

$viro/\emptyset > vir$	[no problem here, no change]
puero/Ø > puer	[no problem here, no change]
$agro/\emptyset > agr > ager$	[consonant cluster, "e" inserted into base]